

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/046,805	01/14/2002	Hyo-Jong Lee	5649-968	6423		
20792 7	590 07/09/2003					
	EL SIBLEY & SAJO	EXAMINER				
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			KILDAY, LISA A			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2829			
Q			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

-		Application f	No.	Applicant(s)	M			
_		10/046,805	.	LEE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	 	Art Unit				
		Lisa A Kilday		2829				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		ver sheet with the		9SS			
Period fo	or Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, h ply within the statutory I will apply and will ex te, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be ti minimum of thirty (30) da bire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comments (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	June 2003 .		•				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is no	n-final.					
3)□ Disposit								
	Claim(s) 1-62 is/are pending in the application	on.						
.—	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-33 and 42-59</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-13,34-41 and 60-62</u> is/are rejected	i.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requ	irement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) 🔲 obj	ected to by the Exa	miner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	=	-					
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)	oved b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office	action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been re	eceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* <	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Ru	e 17.2(a)).		age			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		·		oplication).			
_ a	The translation of the foreign language processes Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional applic	ation has been re	ceived.				
Attachmen								
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1				
S. Patent and T	rademark Office	Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 5				

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I and Species I (figs. 2-5) in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Double Patenting

Claims 1-13, 34-41, 60-62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 4-10, 15-17, 25-28, 31-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,432,820. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims have the same function as the conflicting claims, for example: '820 claims forming an interlayer dielectric layer pattern defining a hole region, and the instant specification is drawn to forming a recess. Both claim forming a barrier metal layer/electroplating mask that exposes the inner side walls of the hole region such that the side wall is free of the electroplating mask.

Conclusion

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0957. See MPEP 203.08.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Kilday whose telephone number is (703) 306-5728. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo, can be reached on (703) 308-1233. The fax number for the group is (703) 305-3432. MPEP 502.01 contains instructions regarding procedures used in submitting responses by facsimile transmission.

Lisa Kilday LAK 6/20/03

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800